

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/562,371	07/21/2006	Peter John Hastwell	13004.5	6265	
757 BRINKS HOE	7590 08/27/2010 ER GILSON & LIONE	EXAMINER			
P.O. BOX 103	95		MUMMERT, STEPHANIE KANE		
CHICAGO, IL	60610		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1637		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/27/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Ī	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/562,371	HASTWELL ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	STEPHANIE K. MUMMERT	1637		

	STEPHANIE K. MUMMERT	1637						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED 05 August 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.314. The reply must be filed within one of the following time								
periods: a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin	g date of the final rejection.							
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In o event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b), ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO							
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07	(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.138(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.138(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled it be date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set on the contraction of the								
NOTICE OF APPEAL		The state of the state of the state of						
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).								
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>								
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); 								
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or 								
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.						
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1								
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).					
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all		imely filed amendmen	at canceling the					
non-allowable claim(s).		•						
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro 		be entered and an e	xplanation of					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-14</u> .								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but	t before or on the date of filing a No	tice of Anneal will not	he entered					
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).								
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a					
showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.								
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER								
11. A The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.		condition for allowan	ce because:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. Other:								
	/Stephanie K. Mummert Primary Examiner, Art U							

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Claims 1-14 were finally rejected in the final rejection mailed 6/9/10. Claims 15-20 were newly added to the claims in the instant after final response without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. Furthermore, the amendment to claim 1 and 11-13, which explicitly requires that the chemical functional layer is a continuous layer on the delectric layer was not recited previously and was not previously searched or considered. Therefore, this amendment to the claims raises an issue that would require further search and consideration outside of the scope of the previous search and examination of the claims.

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's amendment to the claims and the additional claims added after final have not been entered for the reasons stated above. Applicant's arguments are based on the claims as amended and since the amendment has not been entered. Applicant's arguments are not commensurate in scope with the invention as claimed. Therefore, Applicant's argument and request for reconsideration is not cersussive and the relections are maintained.